

CWIC Closed Action Items

- ☒ 0. ECHO - ECHO OpenSearch API to support search by dataset id (Jan 8 in TEST)
- ☒ 1. CWIC - Use datasetId instead of dataset_id in OSDD, Atom feed
- ☒ 2. CWIC - Ensure <id> in Atom response is a UID, not a string
- 3. CWIC, GCMD, ECHO - Use parameter names consistently (searchTerms, count, startIndex, startPage) - definitions? - see Calin note below
Status >> In process
 - ☒ GCMD - not interested in supporting any encoding other than UTF-8, no point in letting them ask for others; aggressively change encodings to UTF if ASCII. Officially only English is supported. What we could do is make it more clear in the xml by either hard coding these values or adding a comment or something. We could even change the HTTP headers to advertise this info.
- ☒ 8. GCMD - temporal range to use <dc:date> (Dublin core)? – if (in response to OrigMetaNode prepended to Entry IDs){done, see note below}
- ☒ 9. CWIC - provide static atom response
- ☒ 10. CWIC - use "enclosure" for "rel" attribute in atom response to mark granule ordering URL
- ☒ 11. ECHO - Doug to discuss Calin's concern over georss namespace vs. geo namespace with OpenSearch groups for CEOS, ESIP, etc. (added by Calin) Doug Newman says 'Geo is the OS geo extension and is used for spatial constraints, georss is used to represent spatial extents (the asymmetrical usage of which is defined in the OS Geo extension confusingly). I raised this point once with the ESIP discovery cluster and it was deemed moot. My comment of taking a spatial extent and plugging it into a spatial constraint was not deemed a valid use case'
- Calin Duma Point taken. However, I want to make sure that the issue didn't get lost in translation. I am not trying to take a spatial extent and try to plug it in the spatial constraint, I am trying to have consistency between two representations of a bounding box. A bounding box is a bounding box, regardless if it is a spatial constraint or a spatial extent and the unfortunate fact is that it is represented differently in geo and georss. I wouldn't be surprised if other shapes are also represented differently with the rationale that one is a spatial constraint and the other is a spatial extent, which makes no sense to me.
- ☒ 12. CWIC - GHRSSST response contain null value for <dc:date> element
- ☒ 13. CWIC - <name> element of GHRSSST response contain redundant text: "originator:"
- ☒ 14. CWIC - <entry>/<id> element contains dead link for GHRSSST response. Make it live
- ☒ 15. CWIC - change the date/time format of GHRSSST, make it consistent with INPE and LSI
- ☒ 16. CWIC - the link for the original metadata in GHRSSST response is incorrect
- ☒ 17. CWIC - ensure georss:box syntax is correct
- ☒ 18. CWIC - opensearch:itemsPerPage appears twice
- ☒ 22. CWIC - ensure geoBox permits decimal numbers
- ☒ 23. GCMD - Atom response has spurious blank lines
- ☒ 26. CWIC - add GET method to OSDD?
Status >> resolved, not required by spec
- ☐ 28. CWIC - content-type should be application/xml+atom
Status - verified using curl
- ☒ 32. CWIC - self links should not provide local URLs